

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,839	07/30/2003	Richard Clayton Coffelt	255p-Coffelt	1035	
7:	590 09/13/2004		EXAMINER		
The Law Office of Craig W. Barber			STERLING, AMY JO		
PO Box 16220 Golden, CO 8	30402-6004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			3632		
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	I A 11 - A	A				
ζ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/631,839	COFFELT, RICHARI) CLAYTON			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amy J. Sterling	3632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .					
	action is non-final.	•				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR				
,	ammer. Note the attached emoc	7.00.011 07.101111 1 1 0	102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	52)			

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first Office Action for application number 10/631,839, Bike Repair Station with Inner Tube bending Machine and Air Compressor, filed on 7/30/02. Claims 1-15 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Figs. 1 and 2

Species II: Fig. 3

Species III: Figs. 4, 5, 6

Species IV: Figs. 7

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,839 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Barber on 8/5/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species III, Figs. 4, 5, 6 and claims 7-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-6 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate

Application/Control Number: 10/631,839

Art Unit: 3632

paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/30/04. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "concrete apron, the tire irons, spoke butterflies, wrenches, screw drivers, bicycle tires, bicycle inner tubes, bicycle repair tools, bicycle lights, bicycle brake pads, bicycle components" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the bicycle repair tool" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The term "high volume" in claim 13, line 2 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "high volume" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/631,839

Art Unit: 3632

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 6044954 to McLaughlin.

The patent to McLauglin discloses a device which has a bicycle support (109) which could be used to support a bicycle, a vending machine (100) attached to the support, then vending machine dispenses air, the air which is defined as a bicycle component and a tool optimized for bicycle usage because air is needed for making the tires inflated, which is needed before riding the bicycle, and an air compressor (401) attached to the vending machine (100), the device which has sufficient weight to deter easy removal.

Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 5857417 to Hart.

Hart discloses the method of providing a machine to vend bicycle equipment. Air is dispensed through the disclosed machine, which is considered necessary equipment for bicycle use. Hart also discloses the method of providing an air compressor (16) and securing the provided devices in a sufficient manner to deter removal. The Hart device is secured to concrete (38), to deter vandalistic removal, selling the equipment by means of the vending machine. The Hart device is a coin operated air dispenser selling air for the appropriate amount of money. Hart also discloses that the device is located at a first place, a gasoline station (Col. 1, line 7) which would have a relatively high volume of bicycle use and it is inherent from the structure of the device, that it would be

Art Unit: 3632

available 24 hours/day, there is no attendant needed to operate the device, and can be serviced at intervals as needed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6044954 to McLaughlin as applied to claim 7 above, and in view of United States Patent No. 5857417 to Hart.

McLaughlin discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above with the exception that it does not show that the device is attached to a concrete apron.

Hart teaches a device (10) having an air compressor (16) which is attached to a concrete apron (38) (See Col. 2, lines 49-54), used to protect the device from vandalism. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Hart to have added a concrete apron to the device as taught by McLaughlin, in order to protect the device from vandalism.

Art Unit: 3632

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6443178 to Gupta shows a servicing station

5917407 to Squire et al. shows a coin operated vending machine for bicycles

5709295 shows a vending machine with compressor

5638985 to Fitzgerald et al. shows a vending machine with shelf

4406454 to Dean shows a vending machine with bracket

UK Patent 2 270 053 to Gatward shows a bicycle and a vending machine

Derwent Publication 2004-188919 to Son shows a bicycle mounted with various

vending machines.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 703-308-3271. The examiner can normally be reached (M-F 8 a.m.-5:00 p.m.). If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached at 703-308-2156. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 703-872-9306 (formal amendments) or 703-308-3519 (informal amendments/communications).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 703-308-2168.

Amy J. Sterling

PRIMARY EXAMINER